



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,854	10/01/2001	Kurt A. Zarefoss	125090.00014	4038
26707	7590	12/29/2006	EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP RENAISSANCE ONE TWO NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2391			DESHPANDE, KALYAN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/965,854	ZAREFOSS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kalyan K. Deshpande	3623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1 and 81-114 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1 and 81-114 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
_____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. The following is a non-final office action in response to the communications received on October 3, 2006. Claims 1 and 81-114 are now pending in this application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 3, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendments

3. Applicants' amendments to claims 1 are acknowledged. Applicants' cancellation of claims 2-80 is acknowledged. Applicants' submission of new claims 81-114 are acknowledged.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed on October 3, 2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Additionally, the affidavit filed on December 7, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Lindoerfer reference. In response, Examiner submits 35 USC § 102(b) rejections based on the Huang reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 81-82, 84-87, 90-91, 92-95, 97-100, 103-112, and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al (U.S. Patent No. 5953707).

As per claim 1, Huang teaches:

A computer implemented method for sharing and manipulating supply chain planning data, comprising the steps of:

Creating a central database for storing and sharing planning data (see column 4 lines 64-67; where a database is used to store and share production planning data.);

Providing an attribute model made selectively available to a plurality of users in the supply chain, the attribute module having access to the central database for assigning user defined attributes to the planning data (see column 6 lines 57-67; where data elements for a supply chain data are assigned attributes.);

Providing a hierarchy module made selectively available to the plurality of users in the supply chain, the hierarchy module having access to the central database for creating a hierarchy based on the user-defined attributes (see column 21 lines 30-67 and column 22 lines 1-27; where attributes are arranged such that top-down and bottom-up forecasts can be drawn. The ability to arrange data elements in a top-down forecast is the same as having a hierarchical relationship to other data elements.);

Providing a manipulation module made selectively available to the plurality of users in the supply chain, the manipulation module having access to the central database for manipulating the supply chain data by aggregating the planning data in accordance with the hierarchy to produce aggregated planning data (see column 21 lines 30-67 and column 22 lines 1-27; where data can be manipulated such that the data is presented in the most desirable fashion for the user, such as top-down or bottom-up forecasts.); and

Providing a calendar module made selectively available to the plurality of users in the supply chain, the calendar module having access to the central database for organizing and incrementing the planning data according to a customized calendar (see figure 58; where a calendar is provided to users. The fields of the calendar are populated by data in the database.).

As per claim 81, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the planning data includes data selected from the group consisting of demand forecast, supply forecast, promotional forecast, and purchasing order information (see column 6 lines 60-67, column 7 lines 1-8, column 9 lines 65-67, column 10 lines 40-67, column 11 lines 1-5, column 19 lines 63-67, and column 20 lines 1-49; where planning data includes demand forecasts, supply forecasts, promotional forecasts, and purchasing order information.).

As per claim 82, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the planning data is selectively made available through a filter that queries for the planning data by seeking only data having the

user-defined attribute (see column 21 lines 30-67 and column 22 lines 1-27; where data can be manipulated such that the data is presented in the most desirable fashion for the user, such as top-down or bottom-up forecasts. The top-down forecasts filters and organizes information based on a specific demand attribute.).

As per claim 84, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the user- defined attribute is product size (see column 6 lines 57-67 and column 8 lines 56-67; where the specific dimensions of product size are defined for products.).

As per claim 85, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the attribute module further assigns location attributes and product attributes to the planning data (see column 6 lines 57-67, column 8 lines 57-67, and column 108 lines 49-60; where product attributes such as product dimensions, are assigned to the data. Product plant locations are also selected by the user.).

As per claim 86, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the planning data includes start date, duration, and quantity for each planning component (see column 9-10 tables 1-3; where planning data includes a time resolution, a time period, a create date, and a quantity value.).

As per claim 87, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of users are assigned roles to determine status as read-only or authorized for editing the planning data (see

column 90 lines 53-67; where the user privileges are defined. The lowest access level is a read-only access level.).

As per claim 90, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the step of providing a hierarchy module involves ranking and placing one of the attributes into a hierarchical order (see column 21 lines 30-67 and column 22 lines 1-27; where data can be manipulated such that the data is presented in the most desirable fashion for the user, such as top-down or bottom-up forecasts. The top-down forecasts filters and organizes information based on a specific demand attribute.).

As per claim 91, Huang teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of users access the central database through a communication link to a computer network (see figures 45 and 46; where a plurality of client stations (users) are connected to the database through a communication linked computer network.).

96, 101, 102, and 113

Claims 92-95, 97-100, 103-112, and 114 recite a method and a product taught by Huang for “sharing supply chain planning data” (see abstract; where a supply chain planning system and method are disclosed.) and “a computer program product usable with a programmable computer processor having a computer readable program code embodied therein” (see column 4 lines 45-63 and column 5 lines 1-22; where a computer program is embodied and executed on a server.). Claims 92-95, 97-100, 103-

Art Unit: 3623

112, and 114 further recite limitations already addressed by the rejections of claims 1, 81-82, 84-87, and 90-91; therefore the same rejections apply to these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 83, 88-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5953707).

As per claim 83, Huang teaches the ability to create groups of users where the groups of users have specific access levels and only pertinent data is customizable for each user group. Huang does not explicitly teach that the users are "selected from the group consisting of suppliers, assemblers, manufacturers, distributors, and trading partners". An authorized user with the ability to create specific user groups can create groups for suppliers, assemblers, manufacturers, distributors, and trading partners. Thus, having the functionality of the ability to create specific user groups is the same as having the specific groups of suppliers, assemblers, manufacturers, distributors, and trading partners. The advantage of having the specific groups of suppliers, assemblers, manufacturers, distributors, and trading partners is that data can be presented to specific users based on that particular user's point of view. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Huang to define

"the plurality of users are selected from the group consisting of suppliers, assemblers, manufacturers, distributors, and trading partners" in order to present data to a specific user from that specific user's point of view, which is a goal of Huang (see column 1 lines 61-65).

As per claim 88, Huang teaches the presentation of data to each user in a manner that is specific to that user's needs (see column 1 lines 61-65). Huang fails to explicitly teach "the hierarchical order of the attributes is unique to each user", however, the presentation of data specific to each user's need to see the data is the same as "the hierarchical order of the attributes is unique to each user". The advantage of "the hierarchical order of the attributes is unique to each user" is that it facilitates a user's ability to analyze data. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Huang to include "the hierarchical order of the attributes is unique to each user" in order to facilitate a user's ability to analyze data, which is a goal of Huang (see column 1 lines 66-67 and column 2 lines 1-4).

As per claim 89, Huang teaches the supply chain frame manager can prevent data from being updated in the database when necessary (see column 92 lines 38-64). Huang fails to explicitly teach a "freeze profile", however, the supply chain frame manager of Huang provides the same functionality as a "freeze profile". The advantage of a "freeze profile" having the functionality to prevent planning data from being edited during a specified time is that it maintains consistency across all data fields. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, to modify Huang to include a "freeze

profile" as part of the supply chain frame manager in order to maintain consistency of data, which is a goal of Huang (see column 92 lines 38-64).

Claims 96, 101, 102, and 113 recite a method and a product taught by Huang for "sharing supply chain planning data" (see abstract; where a supply chain planning system and method are disclosed.) and "a computer program product usable with a programmable computer processor having a computer readable program code embodied therein" (see column 4 lines 45-63 and column 5 lines 1-22; where a computer program is embodied and executed on a server.). Claims 96, 101, 102, and 113 further recite limitations already addressed by the rejections of claims 83 and 88-89; therefore the same rejections apply to these claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are pertinent to the current invention, though not relied upon:

Brodersen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6850895) teach a method, a program product, and a system for assigning resources to tasks in a rule based, resource constrained system.

Brinkley et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5963919) teach a system and method for evaluating an inventory management strategy combines multiple management strategies in a single inventory management system.

Bhaskaran et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6157915) teach an active collaboration technology in an open architectural framework that delivers information and decision

support tools in a timely, contextual and role sensitive manner to present a collaborative dynamic decision making capability to a community of role players within a supply chain process.

Sohner (U.S. Patent No. 6477660) teaches a data model for a supply chain whereby individual working steps in a production process are defined as activities, and organized groups of such activities are defined as orders. Activities are allocated to no more than one resource and contain information concerning the start and finish time for the activity, any resource on which the activity is currently scheduled, and a list of alternative resources, if any.

Bowman-Amuah (U.S. Patent No. 6601234) teaches a system and method are provided for controlling access to data of a business object via an attribute dictionary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalyan K. Deshpande whose telephone number is (571) 272-5880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


kdd


TARIQ R. HAFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000
